

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/976,923	10/12/2001	John S. Kula	CRD-970	2172	
7590 12/05/2003			EXAMINER		
Philip S. Johns		LARSON, LOWELL A			
Johnson & John		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
One Johnson & Johnson Plaza New Brunswick, NJ 08933-7003			3725	- TALER NOMBER	
Trow Brangwie.	, 110 00555 7005		DATE MAILED: 12/05/2003	, 7	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Applicat	tion No.	Applicant(s)					
		09/976,9	923	KULA ET AL.					
Office Action Summary		Examine	er	Art Unit					
		Lowell A	Larson	3725					
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this commu	nication appears on th	he cover sheet with	h the correspondence ad	dress				
A SH THE - Exte after - If the - If NO - Failu - Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUN nsions of time may be available under the provisior SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this com period for reply specified above is less than thirty of period for reply is specified above, the maximum into the reply within the set or extended period for reply reply received by the Office later than three months and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	NICATION. ns of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no e nmunication. (30) days, a reply within the st statutory period will apply and ly will, by statute, cause the ap	event, however, may a repetatutory minimum of thirty will expire SIX (6) MONT pplication to become ABA	oly be timely filed (30) days will be considered timely HS from the mailing date of this co					
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) fi	led on <u>06 October 20</u>	<u>103</u> .						
2a)□	This action is FINAL .	2b)⊠ This action is non-final.							
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.								
Disposit	ion of Claims								
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	 4) Claim(s) 4 to 10 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 4 to 10 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. 								
Applicat	ion Papers								
10)⊠ 11)□	The specification is objected to by to the drawing(s) filed on <u>12 October</u> . Applicant may not request that any objected Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the oath or declaration is objected under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120	2001 is/are: a)⊠ acception to the drawing(s) and the correction is requ) be held in abeyand uired if the drawing(s	ce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). s) is objected to. See 37 CF	FR 1.121(d).				
	Acknowledgment is made of a claim		under 35 U.S.C. §	119(a)-(d) or (f).					
* (3)	☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of: 1.☐ Certified copies of the priorit 2.☐ Certified copies of the priorit 3.☐ Copies of the certified copies application from the Internat See the attached detailed Office act Acknowledgment is made of a claim ince a specific reference was included 7 CFR 1.78. a)☐ The translation of the foreign late Acknowledgment is made of a claim afterence was included in the first see the second control of the foreign late acknowledgment is made of a claim afterence was included in the first see the second control of the foreign late.	y documents have be y documents have be s of the priority docun ional Bureau (PCT Ri ion for a list of the cer for domestic priority led in the first sentend anguage provisional a for domestic priority	een received in Apments have been rule 17.2(a)). rtified copies not runder 35 U.S.C. §ce of the specifical application has be under 35 U.S.C. §	received in this National eceived. 3 119(e) (to a provisional tion or in an Application en received. 3 120 and/or 121 since	l application) Data Sheet. a specific				
Attachmen	` '		_ ,						
2) Notice	ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449)			ımmary (PTO-413) Paper No(s formal Patent Application (PTC					

Application/Control Number: 09/976,923 Page 2

Art Unit: 3725

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 2. Claims 4 and 7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Roth.

The "textured surface" required by Claim 4 does not distinguish over the rolled surface described in column 4, lines 4 to 22 of Roth. The surface "texture" is determined by the smoothness of the finishing rolls.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 5, 6 and 8 to 10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Roth in view of Limon et al.

Application/Control Number: 09/976,923

Art Unit: 3725

Limon et al. shows that one skilled in the art knows to provide different thicknesses in different stent portions in order to vary the strength along the length of the stent.

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide different thickness portions in different areas of the Roth stent, following the teaching of Limon et al., in order to obtain any variation of strength characteristics desired. The particular thickness variations provided are considered to be an obvious exercise of designer's choice to optimize for any situation encountered, following the teaching of Limon et al., and not a patentable distinction absent a disclosure of criticality in the solution of stated problems with the provision of any specific thickness pattern.

Limon et al. further discloses the well known technique of balloon expansion as recited in Claim 6.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 5. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 6. Claims 5 and 8 to 10 are further rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

In line 1 of Claim 5 "same stent" is confusing.

No clear antecedent basis is found for "said variable strut thickness" in Claim 8, "said struts" in Claim 9, or "said ends" in Claim 10.

Application/Control Number: 09/976,923

Art Unit: 3725

Conclusion

7. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Laigle et al. further shows strip texturing by rolling.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Lowell A Larson whose telephone number is 703 308-1873. The examiner can normally be reached from 8:30 AM - 5:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Alan Ostrager can be reached on 703 308-3136. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703 305-9835.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703 308-1148.

Lowell A Larson Primary Examiner Art Unit 3725

LAL December 2, 2003